ERDC/GSL TN-14-2 August 2014



Review of Instrumentation and Monitoring for USACE Levees

By Joseph B. Dunbar, Gustavo Galan-Comas, Lucas A. Walshire, Ronald E. Wahl, Donald Yule, Maureen K. Corcoran, Jose Llopis, and Amber L. Bufkin

PURPOSE: The purpose of this U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center-Geotechnical and Structures Laboratory (ERDC-GSL) technical note is to identify and review effective technologies related to the remote monitoring of earthen structures (dams and levees).

INTRODUCTION: Extreme loading events considered here are primarily flood loading and, to a lesser extent, seismic loading from extreme earthquake events. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) guidance has been consistent on instrumentation requirements for the safety of its dams and described in various engineering manuals (USACE 1981, 1987, 1995a, 1995b, 2004, 2011). Therefore, it is appropriate first to summarize the guiding principles involving instrumentation of USACE water control facilities (USACE 2011).

"All USACE dams and other water control facilities are required to have a level of instrumentation that enables proper monitoring and evaluation of the structure during the construction period and under all operating conditions. Instrumentation systems are also expected to furnish data on structural behavior for application to future designs. Each dam or other water control structure shall have instrumentation to measure hydrostatic pressure, embankment and abutment seepage, foundation underseepage, and displacement of major elements of the structure. Additionally, strong motion accelerometers are to be installed in structures located in designated seismic regions in accordance with USACE (1981).

After a project is operational for several years, scheduled maintenance, repair, and replacement of instrumentation shall be part of the normal plan of operation. Instrumentation shall be properly maintained or replaced, as necessary, in order to obtain accurate and timely data. Readings shall be made at scheduled frequency and shall be properly recorded and analyzed. Detailed information on instrumentation for earth and rock fill dams is given in USACE (2004) and USACE (1995a). Information on instrumentation for concrete dams is given in USACE (1995b) and USACE (1987).

Full reliance should not be placed on instrumentation alone to find problems or to forecast performance, since it is impossible to install sufficient instrumentation to monitor every possible problem area. An extremely important part of the monitoring program is visual observation to determine evidence of distress and unsatisfactory performance (Mahoney 1990). Project personnel shall receive training in basic engineering considerations pertaining to major structures, with procedures for surveillance, monitoring, and reporting of potential problems, and with procedures for emergency operations."



maintaining the data needed, and coincluding suggestions for reducing	ection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu ald be aware that notwithstanding and OMB control number.	tion of information. Send commentarters Services, Directorate for Inf	s regarding this burden estimate formation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the s, 1215 Jefferson Davis	his collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington
1. REPORT DATE AUG 2014		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-2014	RED 4 to 00-00-2014
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER
Review of Instrumentation and Monitoring for USACE Levees			5b. GRANT NUMBER		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANI U.S. Army Enginee Center, Vicksburg, I	er Research and Dev	` '		8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMB	G ORGANIZATION ER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ		ion unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	TES				
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	6	RESI GIGIDEE I ERGON

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 Specific requirements for instrumentation can be found in the appropriate USACE guidance previously referenced (Engineer Manual (EM)). These EMs are periodically updated, thus the year of reference may change after publication of this review. The most recent guidance should be consulted and reviewed at the USACE website: http://www.publications.usace.army.mil/USACEPublications/EngineerManuals.aspx

INSTRUMENTATION AND MONITORING APPROACH: Geotechnical instrumentation can be divided into two categories: in situ determination of soil or rock properties and monitoring of performance during extreme loading events. The second category is where this review will focus. Geotechnical instrumentation can be used to measure deformation, seismic loading, groundwater pressure, total stress in soil, stress changes in rock, and temperature. This information is vital to the design and operation of geotechnical structures, helps ensure the structure performs as intended, and should be used together with a thorough understanding of site geology and groundwater conditions.

PLANNING AND DESIGN: The needs for geotechnical instrumentation are many, and a properly defined and implemented instrumentation plan can help overcome geotechnical uncertainty. Instrumentation can ensure long-term safety by providing data that monitor the performance of the dam or levee over the design life. It can help define the need for and the adequacy of remediation efforts before and after extreme loadings. Placement is vital; the wrong instrument in the wrong location can cause confusion or distract from other issues that may be developing. Each instrument installed on or near a levee must have a specific purpose. A rule of thumb when developing an instrumentation plan is to have a particular question for each instrument that is being installed. Table 1 gives a systematic approach to instrumentation planning and developing a monitoring program.

Planning an instrumentation program should begin with identifying the objective of the instrumentation plan and end with planning how the gathered data will be used and the parameters (i.e., displacement, translation, settlement, rotation, water level or elevation, pore pressure, cracking, volumetric changes, and change in seepage condition) to be studied. Each of these steps is well defined in Dunicliff (1993) and Burland (2012). As part of the planning process, it is important to identify threshold values that, once reached, will trigger execution of a certain preplanned action. Often a traffic light system is used as an analogy for evaluating observed conditions and determining the appropriate response (Table 2).

Defining threshold values is an important aspect of the instrumentation monitoring process, especially when considering a dam with a heavily populated region downstream. Defining the Amber and Red threshold levels should be based on calculated values and tolerances for acceptable performance, given risk associated with downstream conditions.

Remote monitoring plays a vital role when using visual inspection in new construction, but it also plays a vital role during the life of the structure. Data collected from geotechnical instruments allow for timely design and remediation modifications to these structures before failure is reached. The placement and monitoring of geotechnical instrumentation can also yield important information, which can be used to "buy down" risk. The careful review of past and present instrumentation data, in addition to geologic and design information, will aid in the identification of the severity of failure modes that impact geotechnical structures. Once the severity of the failure

modes is identified, remediation efforts can be focused where they are needed most. Early remediation of design issues decreases the cost and time associated with these activities and ensures future performance of the structure. Properly managed data extracted from well placed instruments can validate critical assumptions and address the likelihood of a particular failure mode.

Table 1. Steps in systematic approach to planning monitoring programs using geotechnical instrumentation (Burland 2012).				
1	Define the project conditions			
2	Predict mechanisms that control behavior			
3	Define the geotechnical questions that need to be answered			
4	Identify, analyze, allocate and plan for control of risks			
5	Select the parameters to be monitored (displacement, water level, pressure etc.)			
6	Predict magnitudes of change			
7	Devise remedial action			
8	Assign tasks for the construction phase			
9	Select instruments			
10	Select instrument locations			
11	Plan documentation of factors that may influence measured data			
12	Establish procedures for ensuring data correctness			
13	List the specific purpose of each instrument			
14	Prepare budget			
15	Prepare instrumentation system design report			
16	Plan installation			
17	Plan regular calibration and maintenance			
18	Plan data collection and data management			
19	Prepare contract documents			
20	Update budget			

Table 2. Traffic light system used to define threshold values.					
Color	Condition				
Green	Embankment is performing as intended and all data are within normal operating ranges				
Amber	Data trends are approaching maximum acceptable values. Increased monitoring is necessary, Emergency Action Plan (EAP) should be reviewed, calculations may need to be reviewed, and preliminary agency contacts and contingency measures may need to be initiated if trends indicate that the red threshold may be reached shortly				
Red	Indicates that the EAP must be executed and immediate contingency and emergency measures must be taken				

Possible failure modes that a dam or levee may encounter include: overtopping, internal erosion (piping) from through seepage or underseepage, rotational slope failure, and liquefaction from earthquakes. During an extreme flood event, the level of water against the embankment may

exceed that anticipated in the original design. This extreme loading could cause water to flow over the embankment and erode material, or cause slope instability, which could lead to failure of the embankment. Internal erosion or piping occurs when seepage water is flowing at a sufficient velocity to carry soil particles with the water. Rotational slope failure can occur when the dam or levee is constructed over a foundation of soft soil. If the dam or levee is built on loose granular material, a seismic event may cause the foundation to liquefy and flow, which may lead to complete or partial failure of the structure. These examples are only a few of the many failure modes that a dam or levee may encounter. There may be unforeseen circumstances or design flaws that contribute to more site specific failure modes. With these failure modes in mind, Dunicliff (1993) made suggestions regarding the proper instruments for monitoring (Table 3).

Table 3. Instrumentation suggestions (Dunnicliff 1993).					
Measurement in Priority Order	Recommended Instruments	Additional Instruments for Special Cases			
Condition of entire structure	Visual observations	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) imagery			
Leakage emerging downstream	Leakage weirs Precipitation gage				
Performance of relief wells	Leakage weirs Open standpipe piezometers				
Seismic events	Strong motion accelerographs Microseismographs				
Pore water pressure within the embankment	Open standpipe piezometer Twin-tube hydraulic piezometers	Vibrating wire piezometers Pneumatic piezometers			
Vertical movement of the embankment surface	Optic leveling Trigonometric leveling Satellite-based SAR Benchmarks				
Lateral movement of the embankment surface	Electronic distance measurements Triangulation Satellite-based SAR and imagery Horizontal control stations				
Vertical deformation within the embankment	Single-Point and full-profile liquid level gages, overflow type Double fluid settlement gages Horizontal inclinometers Elevation benchmarks	Probe extensometers, installed vertically			
Lateral deformation within the embankment	Probe extensometers with multiple induction coil or magnet/reed switch transducers, connected by rods and installed horizontally Horizontal control stations	Fixed embankment extensometers with vibrating wire transducers, or induction coil transducers with frequency output Inclinometers			
Total stress at contact between the embankment and a structure	Contact earth pressure cells				

SUMMARY: The current trend in geotechnical instrumentation is the automation of instruments in the field and remote monitoring of these instruments over the Internet. This technique can help decrease the costs associated with retrieving data, but it is still necessary to inspect the system and the site periodically. It is also important to know the reliability of the instruments that are used. Often the simplest instrument will yield reliable results over the lifetime of the instrument. Attention should be focused on reliability of the instrument with time, especially in terms of system electronics and aging.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: This technical note was prepared by Dr. Joseph B. Dunbar, Gustavo Galan-Comas, Lucas A. Walshire, Ronald E. Wahl, Donald Yule, Dr. Maureen K. Corcoran, Jose Llopis, and Amber L. Bufkin, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center-Geotechnical and Structures Laboratory (ERDC-GSL). The study was conducted under the Flood and Coastal Storm Damage Reduction Program. This technical note should be cited as follows:

Dunbar, J.B., G. Galan-Comas, L. A. Walshire, R. E. Wahl, D. Yule, M. K. Corcoran, J. Llopis, and A. L. Bufkin. 2014. *Review of instrumentation and monitoring for USACE levees*. ERDC/GSL TN-14-2. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

REFERENCES

- Burland, J., T. Chapman, H. Skinner, and M. Brown, ed. 2012. *ICE manual of geotechnical engineering, volume II Geotechnical Design, Construction and Verification*. ICE: London.
- Dunnicliff, J. 1993. Geotechnical instrumentation for monitoring field performance. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Mahoney, D. J. 1990. FERC's dam safety program: A new focus on monitoring. Hydro Review 9(3):38-45.
- Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 1981. *Engineering and design, strong motion instruments for recording earthquake motions on dams*. EM 1110-2-103. Washington, DC: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 1987. *Instrumentation for concrete structures*. EM 1110-2-4300. Washington, DC: Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 1995a. *Instrumentation of embankment dams and levees*. EM 1110-2-1908. Washington, DC: Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 1995b. *Gravity dam design*. EM 1110-2-2200. Washington, DC: Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2004. Earth and rock-fill dams: General design and construction considerations for earth and rock-fill dams. EM 1110-2-2300. Washington, DC: Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2011. *Safety of dams Policy and procedures*. EM 1110-2-1156. Washington, DC: Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

NOTE: The contents of this technical note are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such products.